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## Motivation: Stringology Meets Bioinformatics

## Goal

Investigate structures in strings and permutations of the string alphabet with application to factoring genomes for sequence alignment.

## Notation and Terminology

- $\Sigma$ : an ordered alphabet
- word: finite sequence of symbols over $\Sigma$
- $\pi$ : permutation defining the ordering of the alphabet


## Typical Alphabets

- Standard English alphabet (26 letters)
- DNA alphabet (4 letters)
- Protein alphabet (20 letters)


## Lyndon Words

Given Ordered alphabet $\Sigma$
Lyndon Word
A finite word $x \in \Sigma^{+}$is a Lyndon word if it is least alphabetically amongst all cyclic rotations of the letters.

Example English alphabet with standard lexicographical ordering ATOM is a Lyndon word since ATOM $<$ OMAT $<$ MATO $<$ TOMA


Other examples: Evolution, Christine, Aberystwyth, Abstract, Amazing, Chicken, Moon

## Lyndon Factorisation

## Lyndon Factorisation

A factorisation of $x \in \Sigma^{+}$into $x=\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ldots \ell_{n}$ where

- $\ell_{i}$ are Lyndon words and
- $\ell_{1} \geq \ell_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \ell_{n}$

Example English alphabet with standard lexicographical ordering

$$
w=\text { UNIVERSITY } \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{U} \geq \mathrm{N} \geq \mathrm{IV} \geq \text { ERSITY }
$$

Fact Any word $x \in \Sigma^{+}$can be uniquely factored into a Lyndon factorisation.

## Research Questions

- What impact does the manipulation of the alphabet ordering have on the resulting Lyndon Factorisation, specifically the number of factors?
- Determine an optimal ordering for a number of different objectives.


## Applications

Sequence factorisation facilitates useful approaches such as parallelism and block compression to deal with the huge volumes of data.

- Bioinformatics: STAR, an algorithm to search for tandem repeats (approximate and adjacent repetitions of a DNA motif)
- Musicology: Enumerating periodic musical sequences
- Digital geometry
- Two-way string-matching
- Compression: In Suffix arrays + Burrows-Wheeler transform


## On the Number of Factors

Example $\quad w=01^{j} 0^{2} 1^{j-1} \ldots 0^{j} 1$ for $j>1$

- $0<1$ : $j$ factors

$$
\left(01^{j}\right)\left(0^{2} 1^{j-1}\right)(\ldots)\left(0^{j} 1\right)
$$

- $1<0$ : 3 factors

$$
\text { (0) }\left(1^{j} 0^{2} 1^{j-1} \ldots 0^{j}\right)(1)
$$

How can we minimise the number of factors?
Existing approach Greedy Algorithm by Clare \& Daykin
How can we maximise the number or balance the length of factors?
Observation Different alphabet sizes and usually no general pattern of characters.

## Objectives

Example: bacdbdabbcdbbddbdbdabbacbabacbc

- Minimise the number of factors ( $a<c<d<b$ )
(b) (acdbdabbcdbbddbdbdabbacbabacbc)
- Maximise the number of factors $(a<b<c<d)$

> (b) (acdbd) (abbcdbbddbdbd) (abbacb) (abacbc)

- Balance the length of the factors ( $b<a<c<d$ )
(bacdbda) (bbcdbbddbdbda) (bbacbabacbc)
- Standard deviation of the factor length
- Difference between maximum and minimum length
- Find a specific number of factors (if possible)

Duval's linear time and constant space algorithm to compute the number of factors.

## Evolutionary Algorithm

(1) Initialisation: Random + based on order of first appearance
(2) While Exit Criteria Not Met Do

- Evaluate alphabet orderings
- Parent Selection: Select uniformly at random from top half of the population
- Create offspring using crossover and mutation
- Replacement: Offspring replace lower half of the population


## Mutation

Swap Mutation and Insert Mutation


Observation Changes to low ordered characters have higher impact $\rightarrow$ Bias the selection of elements towards low ordered characters

Observation Changing the order of two elements has higher impact $\rightarrow$ Select Swap Mutation with higher probability

## Crossover

Observation Need operator that preserves large parts of the ordering
Partially Mapped Crossover


## Experimental Setup

## Parameters

- Generations: 1000
- Population size: 16
- Mutation bias:
- Select one of the 3 lowest ordered elements with probability at least 0.3.
- Select Insert Mutation with probability 0.9


## Experiments

- Random Sequences:

10 random sequences of length 300 over an alphabet of size 20

- Biosequences:

573 protein sequences from a bacterial genome (Buchnera aphidicola)

## Random Sequences: Minimisation





Best individual in initial population has already good fitness $\rightarrow$ heuristic provides good results

Fitness converges to 2 for all random sequences considered.

## Random Sequences: Maximisation





Maximisation problem appears to be more difficult
Maximal fitness reached across different sequences very similar

## Random Sequences: Balanced



Balance problem also appears to be more difficult

## Random Sequences: Specific



Target 12 seems to be relatively easy to reach
More investigations needed to understand how the target influences the difficulty.

## Biosequences







- Lexicographic: 4053 factors in total (mean 7, standard deviation 2.25).
- Minimisation: most cases just 1 factor, at most 2 factors
- Maximisation: Appears to follow a normal distribution, with mean of 22.7
- Balanced: Range of factors from 2 to 31
- Specific: Achieved for all sequences


## Conclusions and Future Work

Evolutionary algorithm for finding an optimal alphabet ordering for the Lyndon factorisation problem

## Future Work

- Consider different ways to initialise the population
- More detailed analysis of different operators for permutation problems and the underlying fitness landscape
- Investigate the solutions for the minimisation problem as they capture information about the protein sequences

